

# Alabama Balance of State Continuum of Care New Projects Ranking and Review Form (FY24 & FY25 NOFO)

This form is designed to evaluate and rank new project applications for the Continuum of Care (CoC) program based on the FY24 Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) guidelines. Reviewers should assess each project using the following criteria and assign scores according to the point system provided. The total score will assist in determining the project's ranking and funding priority.

---

## General Information

- **Project Name:**
  - **Applicant Organization:**
  - **Reviewer Name:**
  - **Date of Review:**
- 

## Section 1: Project Type & Eligibility (Maximum 10 Points)

1. **Eligible Applicant** (3 Points)
    - Is the applicant organization an eligible entity (nonprofit, state/local government, etc.) as outlined by the CoC Program regulations?
      - Yes (3 points)
      - No (0 points)
  2. **Project Type** (5 Points)
    - Does the project align with one of the eligible project types (PSH, RRH, TH-RRH, SSO, etc.) as identified in the NOFO?
      - Yes (5 points)
      - No (0 points)
  3. **Coordinated Entry Participation** (2 Points)
    - Will the project fully participate in the CoC's Coordinated Entry system?
      - Yes (2 points)
      - No (0 points)
- 

## Section 2: Project Design & Readiness (Maximum 25 Points)

1. **Project Description and Implementation Plan** (10 Points)
  - Is the project plan clearly defined, including key components such as service delivery, timelines, and staffing?
    - Score: \_\_\_\_\_/10
2. **Target Population and Need** (10 Points)
  - Does the project effectively identify and address the needs of the target population, including homeless individuals and families with special considerations (chronic homelessness, survivors of domestic violence, youth, veterans)?
    - Score: \_\_\_\_\_/10

3. **Housing First/Low Barrier Approach** (5 Points)

- Does the project follow a Housing First approach and ensure low barriers to entry (e.g., no sobriety requirements, criminal history, etc.)?
    - Fully Implements Housing First (5 points)
    - Partial Implementation (2 points)
    - Not Implemented (0 points)
- 

**Section 3: Performance and Outcomes (Maximum 30 Points)**

1. **Performance Measures** (10 Points)

- Are the project's performance measures in line with HUD's system performance goals (e.g., housing stability, increasing income, reducing returns to homelessness)?
  - Score: \_\_\_\_\_/10

2. **Outcomes and Impact** (10 Points)

- Are there clear and measurable outcomes that align with addressing homelessness and improving system-wide performance?
  - Score: \_\_\_\_\_/10

3. **Budget and Financial Feasibility** (10 Points)

- Does the project have a well-developed budget that reflects financial feasibility and cost effectiveness?
    - Score: \_\_\_\_\_/10
- 

**Section 4: Organizational Capacity & Experience (Maximum 20 Points)**

1. **Experience with Homeless Populations** (10 Points)

- Does the organization have significant experience working with homeless populations and the proposed project type?
  - Score: \_\_\_\_\_/10

2. **Organizational Capacity** (5 Points)

- Does the organization have the staffing, infrastructure, and partnerships necessary to carry out the project?
  - Score: \_\_\_\_\_/5

3. **Past Performance on Grants** (5 Points)

- Has the organization demonstrated success in managing federal grants, including CoC funding?
    - Strong Track Record (5 points)
    - Satisfactory (3 points)
    - Poor or No Experience (0 points)
-

**Section 5: Leverage and Community Impact (Maximum 15 Points)**

1. **Leveraged Resources** (5 Points)
    - Does the project demonstrate significant leveraged resources (in-kind or cash) to support the project’s implementation?
      - Score: \_\_\_\_\_/5
  2. **Community Collaboration** (5 Points)
    - Does the project involve collaboration with community partners (e.g., healthcare providers, law enforcement, education institutions)?
      - Score: \_\_\_\_\_/5
  3. **Addressing Racial Equity and Vulnerable Populations** (5 Points)
    - Does the project integrate strategies to promote racial equity and address the needs of historically underserved populations?
      - Score: \_\_\_\_\_/5
- 

**Section 6: Bonus Points (Maximum 10 Points)**

1. **Innovation** (5 Points)
    - Does the project propose innovative solutions to housing and service delivery challenges?
      - Score: \_\_\_\_\_/5
  2. **Geographic Coverage** (5 Points)
    - Does the project serve a high-need or underserved geographic area within the CoC’s jurisdiction?
      - Score: \_\_\_\_\_/5
- 

**Section 7: Final Scoring and Comments**

- **Total Score:** \_\_\_\_\_/110
  - **Ranking Recommendation** (Rank as High, Medium, or Low Priority): \_\_\_\_\_
  - **Comments/Notes:**
- 
- 

**Reviewer Certification**

I certify that I have reviewed this project application objectively and in accordance with the HUD CoC NOFO for FY24.

- **Signature:** \_\_\_\_\_
  - **Date:** \_\_\_\_\_
- 

This form provides a comprehensive review framework, ensuring each new project is evaluated based on its merits, organizational capacity, and alignment with HUD’s CoC program priorities.