

2023 CoC Program NOFO - Project Rating Rubric - New Projects Permanent Housing

Project Name:
Reviewer Name:

Date:
Type of Project:

Project Overview	4	3	2	1	0
	Chronically Homeless or Non-Chronic w/ High Service Needs	Single, non-chronic	Youth, non-chronic	Families, non-chronic	Undefined/Other
Prioritized Population Aligned with CoC Prioritization	High	Moderate	Average	Low	Unacceptable
Project impact based on numbers served and services provided (e.g. high numbers served or high level of services provided)	High	Moderate	Average	Low	Unacceptable
Benchmark/outcomes identified and reasonable					
			PH w/ Services	PH-No Services	Other
Description of Need for Project compelling and aligned with CoC priorities					
Experience	Expert	Above Average	Average	Below Average	Unacceptable
Demonstrates appropriate experience for type of project and services	Expert	Above Average	Average	Below Average	Unacceptable
Demonstrates appropriate experience for managing federal funding					
PROJECT OVERVIEW TOTAL SCORE (22-17%)					
THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS					
CoC Participation (4-3%)	4	3	2	1	0
	Highly Engaged	Good Standing	General Member	Stakeholder	No Participation
Level of Organization participation					
Coordinated Entry Participation (3-2%)	4	3	2	1	0

		Committee Regularly	Attends CC Regularly	Attends CC occasionally	No Participation
Level of participation in case conferencing and/or committee work					
Housing First & Prog. Implementation (40-32%)	4	3	2	1	0
	Expert	Above Average	Average	Below Average	Unacceptable
Demonstrates prioritization of client-centered services that fit needs of program participant					
	Highly Reflected	Moderately High	Average	Below Average	Did not submit/Not committed
Demonstrates commitment to implementing Housing First practices					
	Highly Reflected	Moderately High	Average	Below Average	Did not submit/Not committed
Demonstrates commitment to implementing low barrier and other best practices					
	Expert	Above Average	Average	Below Average	Unacceptable
Housing First - Description of Scenario Demonstrated Understanding and Implementation of Housing First Practices					
	Highly Reflected	Moderately High	Average	Below Average	Did not submit/Not committed
Description of Termination of Services is Grounded in Housing First, Harm Reduction, Low Barrier approaches					
	Expert	Above Average	Average	Below Average	Unacceptable
Demonstrates use and understanding of Trauma Informed Care practices in current programming					
	Expert	Above Average	Average	Below Average	Did not Submit/Not Committed
Demonstrates use and understanding of Harm Reduction practices in current programming					
	High	Moderately High	Average	Below Average	Did not Submit/Not Committed
Supportive Services design meet the needs of the target population					
	High	Moderately High	Average	Below Average	Did not Submit/Not Committed
Supportive Services designed support rapid obtaining of housing and/or housing stabilization					
	High	Moderately High	Average	Below Average	Did not Submit/Not Committed

Demonstrates plan to individually assist participants to access eligible benefits of mainstream health, social, and employment programs					
Data Quality - Timeliness and Completeness	4	3	2	1	0
(6-5%)			Already using		Not using
Use of HMIS					
	Expert	Above Average	Average	Below Average	Unacceptable
Demonstrated ability to timely and accurately collect data					
Project Utilization – PSH (4-3%)	4	3	2	1	0
	High	Moderately High	Average	Below Average	Missing
PSH Only: Demonstrates comprehensive plan to maintain high bed/unit utilization rate					
Project Utilization – RRH (4-3%)	4	3	2	1	0
	High	Moderately High	Average	Below Average	Missing
RRH Only: Demonstrates comprehensive plan to maintain house participants within 60 days					
Financial Management (6-5%)	4	3	2	1	0
	None	Minor/Unconcern	Moderate	Concerning	Unacceptable/Missing
Level of Significant Deficiencies/Material Weaknesses				Satisfactory/Reasonable	Unsatisfactory
Plan for correction specific and actionable					
			Satisfactory/Reasonable		Unsatisfactory
Average cost per household services is reasonable for the type of project and population					
THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS TOTAL SCORE					
Project Budget	4	3	2	1	0
	Fully Complete, Detailed	Complete, More Detail Needed	Missing Information and/or Detail	Incomplete	Unacceptable/Missing
Budget Complete					
	Diverse and Complete Funding, Match identified and secured		Primarily contingent/unsecured funding sources, meets match		Unsecured funding/match or missing
Financial Stability/Match Secured					
	High Impact to CoC Dollars Ratio		Moderate Impact to CoC Dollar Ratio		Poor impact to CoC Dollar Ratio

Reasonable					
Budget Total Score (12-9%)					
Timeliness	4	3	2	1	0
	High	Moderately High	Average	Below Average	Missing
Demonstrates detailed plan for rapid implementation of the program					
	High	Moderately High	Average	Below Average	Missing
Demonstrates detailed plan for when to house first participant of the program					
Timeliness Total Score (8-6%)					
Practices Diversity, Equity, Inclusion	4	3	2	1	0
		Yes	Missing some info.	Incomplete	Did Not Submit
Was the assessment complete?					
	SMART Goals Identified		Goals Identified were Not SMART		Not Identified
Self-Assessment - Perception of Commitment to DEI					
DEI Total Score (11-9%)					
Monitoring	4	3	2	1	0
			Corrective Actions Completed	In progress/No Monitoring Occurred	No progress on corrective actions
Level of progress on corrective actions					
			No Complaints Filed	Disclosed Complaints but Made Correction	Disclosed Complaints, No Corrections Made
Formal Complaints					
Monitoring Total Score (4-3%)					
Attachments	4	3	2	1	0
				Provided	Not Provided
Applicant Eligibility Documents					
				Provided	Not Provided
Annual Performance Report				Provided	Not Provided
				Provided	Not Provided
Audit Management or Internal Control Letter					
				Provided	Not Provided
Hud Monitoring					
Attachment Score (4-3%)					
Total Score (Out of 125 Points):					